

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
12 AT SEATTLE
13
14

15 SHARON GARLAPATI,
16

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.
19

20 TANUJA CHITHIS, M.D.,
21

22 Defendant.
23

24 Case No. C23-1197-RAJ
25
26 ORDER
27

28 This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. For the reasons that follow, the
Court **DISMISSES** *pro se* Plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. Dkt. # 5.

In August 2023, Plaintiff filed this action against Defendant Tanuja Chithis. Dkt. #1. Plaintiff also submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Dkt. # 1. The Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida granted the application. Dkt. # 4.

The Court's authority to grant *in forma pauperis* status derives from 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Court is required to dismiss an *in forma pauperis* plaintiff's case if the Court determines that "the action . . . (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); *see also See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) ("[S]ection 1915(e) applies to all *in forma pauperis*

28 ORDER – 1

1 complaints, not just those filed by prisoners.”). A complaint is frivolous if it lacks a basis
 2 in law or fact. *Andrews v. King*, 398 F.3d 1113, 1121 (9th Cir. 2005). A complaint fails
 3 to state a claim if it does not “state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Bell Atl.*
 4 *Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 568 (2007).

5 “The legal standard for dismissing a complaint for failure to state a claim under 28
 6 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) parallels that used when ruling on dismissal under Federal
 7 Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).” *Day v. Florida*, No. 14-378-RSM, 2014 WL 1412302,
 8 at *4 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 10, 2014) (citing *Lopez*, 203 F.3d at 1129). Rule 12(b)(6)
 9 permits a court to dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim. The rule requires the
 10 court to assume the truth of the complaint’s factual allegations and credit all reasonable
 11 inferences arising from those allegations. *Sanders v. Brown*, 504 F.3d 903, 910 (9th Cir.
 12 2007). The plaintiff must point to factual allegations that “state a claim to relief that is
 13 plausible on its face.” *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 568 (2007). Where a
 14 plaintiff proceeds *pro se*, the court must construe the plaintiff’s complaint liberally.
 15 *Johnson v. Lucent Techs. Inc.*, 653 F.3d 1000, 1011 (9th Cir. 2011) (citing *Hebbe v.*
 16 *Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338, 342 (9th Cir. 2010)).

17 Plaintiff’s complaint is entirely conclusory. She alleges that Defendant through
 18 “stalking, defamation, and slander” has damaged Plaintiff’s career and stopped her from
 19 becoming a doctor. Dkt. # 5 at 5. She seeks \$30,000,000 in damages. *Id.* Plaintiff does
 20 not provide factual allegations describing the alleged wrongdoing. Rather, Plaintiff
 21 provides vague, conclusory statements that do not adequately explain the facts of this
 22 case or the rights allegedly violated. In sum, Plaintiff has failed to provide clarity
 23 regarding the nature of her claims sufficient to show what happened, when it happened,
 24 who was involved, and how those acts violated her rights. As such, Plaintiff has not
 25 stated a short and plain statement of a claim showing she is entitled to relief. Even
 26 construing all allegations in the light most favorable to the Plaintiff and giving due
 27 deference to Plaintiff’s *pro se* status, the complaint fails to state a claim showing she is
 28

1 entitled to relief.

2 For the reasons stated above, the Court **DISMISSES** *pro se* Plaintiff's complaint
3 with leave to amend. Dkt. # 4. **Within fourteen (14) days from the date of this Order,**
4 Plaintiff may file an amended complaint. If Plaintiff does not file an amended complaint
5 within that timeframe, or if Plaintiff files an amended complaint that does not state a
6 cognizable claim for relief or is otherwise untenable under § 1915(e), the Court will
7 dismiss the action.

8
9 DATED this 6th day of December, 2023.

10
11 
12

13 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28